

# Prediction in nonlinear dynamic systems: extended Kalman filter (discrete time)

Antonio Sala

Lecture Notes on Multivariable Control Systems

Dept. Ing. Sistemas y Automatica (DISA)

**Universitat Politècnica de València (UPV)**

Video-presentación disponible en:

<http://personales.upv.es/asala/YT/V/ekfteoEN.html>



UNIVERSITAT  
POLITÈCNICA  
DE VALÈNCIA

# Outline

## Motivation:

The best prediction formulae, in **linear** processes, allow obtaining the minimum-error-variance state estimate  $\hat{x}$ , given a sequence of measurements  $y$ . This estimator (optimal observer) is the **Kalman filter**, and it is widely used. However, in many cases, the model's equations are **nonlinear**.

## Objectives:

Understand the derivation of the equations of the **extended** Kalman filter (linearised around an estimated trajectory).

## Contents:

Review of linear Kalman filter. Linearization around estimated trajectory. Extended filter. Conclusions.



UNIVERSITAT  
POLITÉCNICA  
DE VALÈNCIA

# Discrete-time linear stochastic processes

Let us consider a discrete-time LTI system given by a state-space representation:

$$\begin{aligned}x_{k+1} &= Ax_k + Bu_k + w_k \\ y_k &= Cx_k + v_k\end{aligned}$$

with  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $w \in \mathbb{R}^p$  being:

- ① Vector  $x$  is the **state** vector,
- ② Vector  $y$  is a **measurable output**,
- ③ Vector  $u$  is a **deterministic input** (known),
- ④  $w$  is a **process** noise,  $\{w_0, w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ , zero mean and variance  $W$ , uncorrelated with  $x$ .
- ⑤  $v$  is a **measurement** noise,  $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ , zero mean and variance  $V$ , uncorrelated with  $x$ .



# Linear Kalman filter, propagation of expected value:

$$\underbrace{\Sigma_{x_k} = A \cdot \overbrace{\Sigma_{x_{k-1}, \text{posteriori}}}^{\text{variance after measuring } y_{k-1}} \cdot A^T + W}_{\text{prediction (variance) before measuring } y_k}$$

► Best linear prediction of  $x_k$  given  $y_k$  (+ prediction  $\hat{x}_{k-1}$ ,  $\Sigma_{x_{k-1}, p}$ , recurrent):

$$\hat{x}_k = \bar{x}_k + \Sigma_{x_k y_k} \Sigma_{y_k}^{-1} (y_k - \bar{y}_k)$$

$$= \underbrace{(A\hat{x}_{k-1} + Bu_{k-1})}_{\text{prediction (mean)}} + \underbrace{\Sigma_{x_k} C^T (C \Sigma_{x_k} C^T + V)^{-1}}_{\text{observer gain, } L_k} \underbrace{(y_k - C \overbrace{(A\hat{x}_{k-1} + Bu_{k-1})}^{\bar{x}_k})}_{\text{innovation error}}_{\text{correction}}$$

\*It's an **observer** with time-varying gain, depending on the precision of the state before measuring, i.e.,  $\Sigma_{x_k}$ .

# Linear Kalman Filter, variance update:

- **A posteriori** variance of estimation error ( $x - \hat{x}$ ) :

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_{x_k, \text{posteriori}} &= \Sigma_{x_k} - \Sigma_{x_k y_k} \Sigma_{y_k}^{-1} \Sigma_{x_k y_k}^T \\ &= \Sigma_{x_k} - \underbrace{\Sigma_{x_k} C^T (C \Sigma_{x_k} C^T + V)^{-1} C \Sigma_{x_k}}_{\text{variance reduction by sensor info}}\end{aligned}$$

\*Using  $\Sigma_{x_k} = A \Sigma_{x_{k-1}, \text{posteriori}} A^T + W$ .

**Recursive implementation:** repeat everything with  $\hat{x}_k$ ,  $\Sigma_{x_k, \text{posteriori}}$ , when next measurement ( $y_{k+1}$ ) becomes available.

**Stationary Kalman filter:** After a handful of samples, variance equations and observer gain converge in LTI case. Many implementations are a stationary filter (Matlab's `dlqe`,  $\mathcal{H}_2$  control).

# Nonlinear processes

Consider a discrete-time system in state-space form given by:

$$\begin{aligned}x_{k+1} &= f(x_k, u_k, w_k) \\ y_k &= h(x_k, v_k)\end{aligned}$$

with  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $w \in \mathbb{R}^p$  being:

- ① Vector  $x$  is the **state** vector,
- ② Vector  $y$  is a **measurable output**,
- ③ Vector  $u$  is a **deterministic input** (known),
- ④  $w$  is a **process** noise,  $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ , zero mean and variance  $W$ , uncorrelated with  $x$ .
- ⑤  $v$  is a **measurement** noise,  $\{w_0, w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ , zero mean and variance  $V$ , uncorrelated with  $x$ .

# Linearization around **estimated** trajectory

If  $x_k \approx \hat{x}_k$ ,  $y_k \approx 0$ , then

$$x_{k+1} = f(x_k, u_k, w_k)$$

$$\approx \underbrace{f(\hat{x}_k, u_k, 0)}_{\bar{x}_{k+1}} + \underbrace{\left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right|_{(\hat{x}_k, u_k, 0)}}_{A(\hat{x}_k, u_k)} \cdot \underbrace{(x_k - \hat{x}_k)}_{e_k} + \underbrace{\left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial w} \right|_{(\hat{x}_k, u_k, 0)}}_{G(\hat{x}_k, u_k)} \cdot w_k$$

error after measuring  $y_k$

so, the error “before measuring  $y_{k+1}$ ”,  $\epsilon_{k+1} := (x_{k+1} - \bar{x}_{k+1})$ , will be

$$\epsilon_{k+1} \approx \underbrace{A(\hat{x}_k, u_k)}_{A_k} \cdot e_k + \underbrace{G(\hat{x}_k, u_k)}_{G_k} w_k$$

and will have a variance given by (approx.):

$$\Sigma_{k+1} \approx A_k \cdot \Sigma_{k, \text{posteriori}} \cdot A_k^T + G_k \cdot W \cdot G_k^T$$



# Incorporation of info from new measurement

Regarding output equation, linearising again, if  $x_{k+1} \approx \bar{x}_{k+1}$ , we will approximately have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_{k+1} &= h(x_{k+1}, v_{k+1}) \\
 &\approx \underbrace{h(\bar{x}_{k+1}, 0)}_{\bar{y}_{k+1}} + \underbrace{\left. \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right|_{(\bar{x}_{k+1}, 0)}}_{C(\bar{x}_{k+1})} \cdot \underbrace{(x_{k+1} - \bar{x}_{k+1})}_{\epsilon_{k+1}} + \underbrace{\left. \frac{\partial h}{\partial v} \right|_{(\bar{x}_{k+1}, 0)}}_{R(\bar{x}_{k+1})} \cdot v_{k+1}
 \end{aligned}$$

error before measuring  $y_{k+1}$

hence,  $y_{k+1} - \bar{y}_{k+1}$  will be (approx.)  $\sim \mathcal{N}(0, C_{k+1} \Sigma_{k+1} C_{k+1}^T + R_{k+1} V R_{k+1}^T)$   
 and its covariance with  $\epsilon_{k+1}$  will be approximated by  $\Sigma_{y_{k+1} \epsilon_{k+1}} \approx C_{k+1} \Sigma_{k+1}$ .



# Summary: EKF algorithm

Start with  $\bar{x}_0, \Sigma_0$ . Make  $k = 0$ , and iterate:

- 1 Measure  $y_k$ , update state (correct) with:

$$\hat{x}_k = \bar{x}_k + \Sigma_k C_k^T (C_k^T \Sigma_k C_k^T + R_k V R_k^T)^{-1} \cdot (y_k - h(\bar{x}_k, 0))$$

$$\Sigma_{k,posteriori} = \Sigma_k - \Sigma_k C_k^T (C_k \Sigma_k C_k^T + R_k V R_k^T)^{-1} C_k \Sigma_k$$

$C_k, R_k$  stand for  $C(\bar{x}_k), R(\bar{x}_k)$ .

- 2 Make an open-loop prediction (predict) of next state:

$$\bar{x}_{k+1} = f(\hat{x}_k, u_k, 0)$$

$$\Sigma_{k+1} = A_k \cdot \Sigma_{k,posteriori} \cdot A_k^T + G_k \cdot W \cdot G_k^T$$

$A_k, G_k$  stand for  $A(\hat{x}_k, u_k), G(\hat{x}_k, u_k)$ .

- 3  $k = k + 1$ , go to step 1.

# Conclusions

- A non-linear discrete-time process may be approximated by its linearization around the estimated state trajectory.
- The linearised model allows setting up an approximation of stochastic mean, variance and covariance equations.
- The approximations with  $A_k$ ,  $G_k$ ,  $C_k$ ,  $R_k$  replace the “constant” (A,G,C,R) in non-stationary Kalman filter equations.  
 \*Stationary Kalman filter (dlqe) is **meaningless** in a nonlinear case (well, a time-varying approximation of it).
- The resulting expressions are called **extended Kalman filter**. There are reasonably accurate for “smooth” nonlinearities (plus small Hessian, ...) and initial estimates close to the “true” process state.
  - **Warning:** With initial estimate far from the true state and/or abruptly changing nonlinearities, **EKF may be far from optimal, or even unstable**.  
 \*There are alternative options (**unscented, particle**) trying to solve some of the EKF drawbacks.